

RECEIVED

AUG - 3 2005

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

COMMENT TO DOCKET TRS 03-123

-----Original Message-----

From: George A. Adams III [mailto:wahoo4438@yahoo.com]

Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2005 12:25 PM

To: KJMWEB; Subject: Comments to the Chairman

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

George A. Adams III (wahoo4438@yahoo.com) writes:

What I dont understand why FCC collect Relay Fund from each states to support the Video Remote System (VRS) and not allow the Deaf to use the VRS for the hospitals in SC and other states. Where are all alive interpreters, they are in VRS interpreting. So I think that is not fair to have all the interpreter working for VRS companies and we cannot find a decent qualified interpreters for the hospitals or doctor's office. I think the FCC ruling not allow VRS interpreting with the hospitals or the doctors is being a little selfish. Where are the Deaf or HOH going to find an alive interpreter at the SC hospitals or doctors' office. I had been jumping from DOJ civil rights, SC Protection and Advocacy for the disabled, then to the SC U.S. Attorney, then to U.S. Human Resources and back to DOJ civil rights. Where are all the qualified interpreters??? They are interpreting at the VRS. I think you FCC need to find some way to provide Video Remote Interpreting on line. You know how powerful the hospitals and doctors are. They dont want to hire or pay for the interpreter service. I do not understand how to provide my comments to FCC. So complex. The FCC ruling on VRS took all the good qualified interpreters and we cannot use them at the hospitals or doctor/s office. It sounds funny that FCC can provide fund for the interpreters, but cannot use the interpreter in the hospital or doctor's office to interpret for the Deaf's health. I think FCC should allow the interpreter with the VRS to interpret at the hospitals or doctor's office. Why have the interpreters in the first place with VRS and while we cannot find alive interpreter for the Deaf at any hospitals or doctor's office. This VRS is wonderful idea, but we cannot use the qualified interpreter at any hospitals with the doctors or nurses. It sound crazy. Find some solution to this problem. We cannot find alive interpreter anywhere to interpret for our vital health problems or medicines. I want some ruling change how we can use the Video Remote Interpreting on Line. I do not want Simpson to send me FCC ruling. I want to solve this problem. I am tired of hearing peoples make the ruling that we cannot get a live interpreter at the hospitals or doctor's office to understand fully about our health. I think you violate the ADA by not providing us qualified interpreter at the hospitals or doctor's office. ; -----

No. of Copies rec'd 0  
List A B C D E